

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,449	01/17/2002	Jim Bottos	108430.023	108430.023 3550 EXAMINER	
26316	7590 03/24/2004		EXAM		
COZEN AND O'CONNOR			STINSON, F	STINSON, FRANKIE L	
1900 MARK	ET STREET PHIA, PA 19103	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
THERDEE	11111, 111 17103		1746		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200-	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	M			
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000	Nation Occurrence	10/053,449	BOTTOS ET AL.			
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. becified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl specified above, the maximum statutory period values et or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing structure. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1) Responsive	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action i	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,11-13 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-10 and 14-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
• • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Certif 2. Certif 3. Copie applic	ment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: ied copies of the priority document ied copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the priority document is of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of the priority document is of the certified copies of t	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati nity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(e)						
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) re Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,449

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Grandia et al. (see fig. 1B).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, and 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grandia et al.

Claim 4 defines over Grandia only in the recitation of the saw-tooth edges. Nonetheless, as per MPEP 2144.06, no patentable significance is deemed to exist between the saw-tooth as claimed and the corresponding structure in Grandia since this is considered to be the substitution of equivalents known or the same purpose. This is also deemed to be applicable to the subject matter of claim 12, namely the chemically resistant material withstanding temperatures or 1800°C and to claim 13, the specific material being that of a fluoropolymer.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grandia et al. in view of either Armstrong or Kudo et al..

Application/Control Number: 10/053,449

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 11 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the width of the holder being less that the diameter of the wafer. Armstrong and Kudo disclose a wafer carrier, having a width less than the diameter of the wafer. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Grandia, to be dimensioned as taught by either Armstrong or Kudo, for the purpose of allowing for easier insertion/removal of the wafer from the carrier.

- 6. Claims 5-10 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Ohsawa, Nishi et al., Lee, Cota, Robbins et al., Koons, Shimazu, Senn, Niemirowski et al., Hoing et al., Jacobson et al., Manos, Kobyayashi, Mayer, Worden, and Matthews, note the carrier means.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and some Saturdays from 5:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (571) 272-1700.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/053,449

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact the TEAM LEADER Ms. Nicol Scott (571) 272-1045.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746